

**DANE COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE MAY 23, 2013 MEETING**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Parisi, Esqueda, Hendrick, Hook (for Mahoney)

VOTING MEMBER EXCUSED: Ozanne, Foust

NON-VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Anhalt, Rusk

NON-VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Watson, Wray

OTHERS PRESENT: Bayrd, Clark-Bernhardt, Kostelic, Saterfield, Thurlow

Parisi called the meeting to order at 12:05 p.m.

There was no public comment.

Consideration of the minutes of April 25th meeting was before the council. Motion by Esqueda/Hook to approve the minutes. Motion carried, 4-0.

A discussion of grant requirements was before the council. Clark-Bernhardt addressed the council. She spoke of data required for the mapping exercise that would occur at the end of June. Much of that data has been collected by Gail Richardson. The ABA grant will require additional data. Clark-Bernhardt distributed a list of data needs. Discussion ensued regarding the time frame for the information. There was agreement that baseline data will be critical and that a staff team may be necessary to develop needed information. Rusk suggested revisiting the data Prof Oliver used. Anhalt indicated he would like to make one data request to the smaller police agencies in the county.

Discussion ensued briefly regarding the racial make up of juries. Esqueda indicated there would be two measures – who is in the pool and who is chosen for juries.

Clark-Bernhard spoke of a grant opportunity for a jail-based program to help opiate users. It was noted that Dr. Brown may have a treatment for opiate users in the jail. Hook said that they will not administer methadone in the jail. The grant requires secure beds, so participants cannot be out on electronic monitoring. Discussion ensued regarding the potential protocol. Hook suggested a meeting and pointed out that the jail is not licensed for treatment of addiction.

An update on jail space needs analysis and planning was before the council. Hook said that Mead Hunt had been retained to study the areas of the Huber Center, intake, and special needs. It became evident that the entire system required study. The County Board was considering a resolution to expand the scope of the study. The Sheriff's Office expects figures from the consultant in early September. Discussion ensued. Hendrick said the idea of broadening the scope makes sense if the priorities are not diluted. He asked if closing the Ferris Center remained a focus. Hook responded that this has not lost importance and a master plan will include a realistic opportunity to close the Ferris Center.

A follow-up discussion on the drug court presentation was before the council. Bayrd wondered about the reaction of the judges to the presentation. Kostelic reported that the judges created three subcommittees, one to decide on a screening tool to use when considering offenders with substance abuse issues, one to consolidate testing, and one to decide how to address the "middle bucket". If the drug court should be for high risk individuals, then how should the courts deal with the middle group. He said there was no consensus on whether drug court should be pre or post adjudication. Judge O'Brien recommends post

adjudication. Kostelic noted that high risk has meant those at high risk of dying or of further violations while waiting for adjudication. Discussion ensued regarding a risk assessment tool and the views of the defense bar on this issue. Kostelic said they will be part of the discussion.

Work Group reports and direction was before the council:

Electronic Efficiencies Work has begun on the interface. The next piece will be e-filing and e-signatures with the DA's office.

Racial Disparities Work Group: The group will meet June 4th. The Board Office has hired an intern to develop benchmarks and a proposal for a community court pilot project.

Huber Work Group: The jail space planning study directly relates to the Huber issue.

A discussion of new initiatives was before the council. Parisi explained the interest in creating a cyber unit and suggested the council discuss it more fully at the next meeting.

Discussion of arranging site visits to other counties with criminal justice councils was before the council. Saterfield indicated that Mike Jones had recommended contact with other councils. In reviewing minutes of other councils he knows that the Waukesha criminal justice council controls diversion money, Eau Claire has completed mapping of their criminal justice system and Rock County is working on mapping. LaCrosse has a long-standing criminal justice council. Saterfield suggested the possibility of inviting a chair of one of the other CJs to a meeting to discuss lessons learned. Parisi agreed that this would be a helpful approach.

The meeting adjourned by unanimous consent at 1:45 p.m.

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the council.